



Tutor Guide | Group Activity on Advancements in Geriatric Assessment Methodologies for Physiotherapists





















Authors

Asha Wettasinghe - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka KRM Chandrathilaka - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka Kaveera Senanayake - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka Sabela Rivas Neira - University of A Coruña, Spain Jamile Vivas Costa - University of A Coruña, Spain

Consortium CAPAGE

- 1. University of Applied Sciences FH JOANNEUM, Austria
- 2. JAMK University of Applied Sciences, Finland
- 3. Santa Maria Health School, Portugal
- 4. University of A Coruña, Spain
- 5. Eastern University, Sri Lanka
- 6. General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka
- 7. University of Colombo, Sri Lanka
- 8. University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka
- 9. University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka
- 10. University of Ruhuna, Sri Lanka



All content is licensed CC-BY-SA-4.0





Content

Tutor Guide Group Activity on Advancements in Geriatric Assessment Methodologies for Physiotherapists Practical Session	3
Learning Objectives	
Assessment components covered	
Session schedule	4
Group Assignments	5
Student Checklist	5
Tutor's Kev Responsibilities	<i>€</i>





Tutor Guide | Group Activity on Advancements in Geriatric Assessment Methodologies for Physiotherapists | Practical Session

Course: Common Geriatric Assessments

Topic: Advancements in geriatric assessment methodologies for physiotherapists

Duration: 6 Hours

Session Type: Group Activity (Practical)

Activity Overview

- This session is designed to enhance your knowledge and practical skills related to geriatric assessment using advanced robotic assessment systems.
- Students will work in small groups to study, demonstrate, and evaluate two advanced robotic assessment systems available in geriatric assessment laboratory which can use in clinical physiotherapy practice for older adults.

Learning Objectives

By the end of this session, you should be able to:

- 1. Explain the purpose and clinical relevance of using Pablo® and Tymo®.
- 2. Identify indications, absolute and relative contraindications for each therapy.
- 3. Demonstrate accurate performance of assessment components with each system on a simulated patient.
- 4. Provide appropriate patient instructions and ensure patient safety during assessments.
- 5. Describe accurate interpretation of results in reports which provided by each system.
- 6. Evaluate peer performance using a structured checklist.





Assessment components covered

- Balance, force and posture control assessment by Tymo[®].
- Measurement of range of motion of upper and lower limb, grip strength of various gripping patterns, and gait assessment using different applications of Pablo ®.

Session schedule

Time	Activity	Tutor Role
0–15 min	Introduction to session and	Welcome students.
	instructions	Explain objectives and expected
		outcomes.
		Brief on group activity and assessments.
		Assign robotic rehabilitation systems
		(Pablo® and Tymo®) and distribute
		resources.
15–180	Group preparation using	Observe groups.
min	video + web resources	Offer guidance and clarification.
		Ensure each group covers key content
		(purpose, procedure, patient
		instructions, interpretation of results,
		contraindications, clinical relevance).
180–320	Group demonstrations (10	Facilitate smooth transitions.
min	min each) with peer	Observe student performance and
	practice	provide real time feedback.
		Ensure safety and accuracy.
		Encourage interaction.
		Allow students to practice on each other
		under supervision.
320–340	Peer evaluation and	Oversee peer evaluation process.
min	informal Q&A	Answer clinical queries.
		Clarify misunderstandings.
		Promote collaborative discussion.





340–360	Wrap-up and reflection	Facilitate brief reflection (oral or
min		written).
		Highlight key takeaways.
		Encourage students to apply tools during
		clinical placements.

Group Assignments

Each group is assigned one robotic assessment system. Their tasks include:

- Understanding the robotic assessment system (based on video + independent search).
- Explaining all key aspects (see checklist below)
- Demonstrating the each robotic assessment system.
- Practicing with peers
- Receiving feedback from peers and tutor

Student Checklist

Students' performance will be evaluated based on:

- Accuracy of technique
- Clarity of explanation
- Patient safety and comfort
- Team coordination
- Ability to answer follow-up questions





Tutor's Key Responsibilities

1. Pre-Session Preparation:

- Ensure videos and handouts are accessible.
- Prepare equipment for demonstrations.
- Print or distribute peer evaluation checklists.

2. During Group Work:

- Prompt critical thinking.
- Encourage use of evidence-based resources.
- Ensure all checklist areas are being addressed.

3. During Demonstration:

- Evaluate clarity, technique, safety, and content.
- Provide constructive feedback.
- Emphasize how improper performance can lead to misinterpretation in clinical settings.

4. During Practice & Feedback:

- Support students trying out the assessments and therapies on peers.
- Observe communication skills and professionalism.
- Moderate peer feedback and ensure it remains respectful and useful.

5. Wrap-Up:

- Highlight common strengths and gaps.
- Link session content to clinical application.