



Peer Evaluation Form | Group Presentation on Geriatric Assessment Tools | Practical 4























Authors

Asha Wettasinghe - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

KRM Chandrathilaka - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Kaveera Senanayake - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Sabela Rivas Neira - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Jamile Vivas Costa - University of A Coruña, Spain

Consortium CAPAGE

- 1. University of Applied Sciences FH JOANNEUM, Austria
- 2. JAMK University of Applied Sciences, Finland
- 3. Santa Maria Health School, Portugal
- 4. University of A Coruña, Spain
- 5. Eastern University, Sri Lanka
- 6. General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka
- 7. University of Colombo, Sri Lanka
- 8. University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka
- 9. University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka
- 10. University of Ruhuna, Sri Lanka









Peer Evaluation Form | Group Presentation on Geriatric Assessment Tools | Practical 4

Instructions to Students

- Please evaluate each group presentation using the criteria below.
- Be fair, objective, and constructive in your assessments. Use one form per group, **excluding your own**.
- Do not evaluate your own group. Use scores from 1 (Poor) to 5 (Excellent) for each criterion.

			Group Number and Score						
	Criteria	Description							
1.	Purpose Clearly Explained	Was the purpose of the tool clearly articulated?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
2.	Format Explained	Did the group mention whether the tool is self-administered or interviewer-administered?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
3.	Components/Content Covered	Were the key components or questions/items of the tool described accurately?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
4.	Scoring System Explained	Was the scoring system and interpretation clearly explained?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
5.	Suitable Settings Identified	Did the group describe where the tool is appropriately used (e.g., hospital, community)?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
6.	Modified Versions Mentioned	Did the group identify if any versions or adaptations exist?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
7.	Clarity and Visual Quality of Slides	Was the PowerPoint presentation visually clear and well-organized?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
8.	Teamwork and Delivery	Did group members share tasks, speak clearly, and engage the audience?	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5	/5
Total				/40	/40	/40	/40	/40	/40