

Practical Guide | Cognitive Assessment Tools in Geriatrics



Authors

Asha Wettasinghe - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

KRM Chandrathilaka - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Kaveera Senanayake - University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Sabela Rivas Neira - University of A Coruña, Spain

Jamile Vivas Costa - University of A Coruña, Spain

Consortium CAPAGE

1. University of Applied Sciences FH JOANNEUM, Austria
2. JAMK University of Applied Sciences, Finland
3. Santa Maria Health School, Portugal
4. University of A Coruña, Spain
5. Eastern University, Sri Lanka
6. General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka
7. University of Colombo, Sri Lanka
8. University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka
9. University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka
10. University of Ruhuna, Sri Lanka



All content is licensed CC-BY-SA-4.0

Content

Practical Guide Cognitive Assessment Tools in Geriatrics	3
Learning Objectives	3
Pre-Session Preparation	3
Pre-Reading Assignment	4
Session Structure Overview	4
Detailed Session Plan	4
Group Assignments:.....	4
Phase 2: Group Study and Practice Phase (90 minutes)	5
Specific Focus Areas by Group	5
Phase 3: Group Demonstrations (45 minutes)	6
Phase 4: Reflection and Wrap-up (15 minutes).....	7
Assessment and Evaluation.....	7
Activity Review and Reflection Questions	8
Clinical Application Guidelines.....	8
Additional Resources and References.....	9
Troubleshooting Common Issues	9

Practical Guide | Cognitive Assessment Tools in Geriatrics

Population

Course: Common Geriatric Assessments

Topic: Physical Assessment Tools and Methods - Cognitive Functions in Geriatric Population

Duration: 3 Hours

Session Type: Group Activity and Peer Learning

Number of Groups: 5 Groups

Learning Objectives

By the end of this practical session, students will be able to:

1. **Demonstrate proficiency** in administering five key cognitive assessment tools used in geriatric populations
2. **Analyze and interpret** results from cognitive assessment instruments appropriately
3. **Compare and contrast** the strengths, limitations, and appropriate applications of different cognitive assessment methods
4. **Apply critical thinking** to select the most appropriate assessment tool based on patient presentation and clinical context
5. **Communicate effectively** with older adults during cognitive assessment procedures
6. **Recognize** normal age-related cognitive changes versus pathological cognitive decline

Pre-Session Preparation

Required Materials (Per Group)

- Assessment tool protocols and scoring sheets
- Stopwatch/timer
- Pencils and paper
- Clipboard
- Calculator
- Copy of assessment forms for practice

Pre-Reading Assignment

Students should review basic concepts of:

- Normal cognitive aging processes
- Common cognitive impairments in older adults
- Principles of geriatric assessment
- Cultural considerations in cognitive testing

Session Structure Overview

Activity	Duration
Introduction & group formation	15 min
Group study & practice phase	90 min
Break	15 min
Group demonstrations	45 min
Reflection and wrap up	15 min

Detailed Session Plan

Phase 1: Introduction and Group Formation (15 minutes)

Instructor Activities:

- Welcome and session overview
- Explain learning objectives and expectations
- Form 5 groups of equal size (4-6 students per group)
- Assign each group one cognitive assessment tool
- Distribute materials and assessment protocols

Group Assignments:

Group 1: Mini-Cog Assessment Tool

Group 2: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)

Group 3: Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)

Group 4: Trail Making Test (TMT) Part A & B

Group 5: Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination (ACE)**Phase 2: Group Study and Practice Phase (90 minutes)**

Each group will engage in structured learning activities focusing on their assigned assessment tool.

Group Activity Structure (All Groups - 90 minutes total)**Part A: Tool Familiarization (30 minutes)**

- Review assessment protocol and instructions
- Understand scoring methodology
- Identify key cognitive domains assessed
- Discuss administration time and requirements
- Note contraindications and limitations

Part B: Practice Administration (45 minutes)

- Students take turns being assessor and "patient"
- Practice administering the tool multiple times
- Focus on proper instruction delivery
- Practice scoring and interpretation
- Discuss common administration challenges

Part C: Preparation for Demonstration (15 minutes)

- Plan group presentation strategy
- Assign roles for demonstration
- Prepare teaching points for other groups
- Practice smooth administration flow

Specific Focus Areas by Group**Group 1: Mini-Cog Assessment Tool**

- Focus on: Clock drawing interpretation, three-word recall
- Practice: Scoring variations in clock drawing
- Consider: Cultural and educational factors

Group 2: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)

- Focus on: Multiple cognitive domains, visuospatial tasks

- Practice: Trail making, abstraction, attention tasks
- Consider: Educational adjustments and normative data

Group 3: Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)

- Focus on: Orientation, registration, attention, recall, language Practice: Consistent administration technique
- Consider: Copyright and licensing requirements

Group 4: Trail Making Test (TMT) Part A & B

- Focus on: Timing accuracy, error recording
- Practice: Proper instruction delivery and error correction
- Consider: Motor limitations and visual impairments

Group 5: Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination (ACE)

- Focus on: Comprehensive assessment approach, subscale scoring
- Practice: Fluency tasks, memory components
- Consider: Time management given test length

Phase 3: Group Demonstrations (45 minutes)

Each group has 9 minutes to demonstrate their assigned cognitive assessment tool.

Demonstration Format (9 minutes per group)

- **Introduction (1 minute):** Brief overview of the assessment tool
- **Live Demonstration (5 minutes):** One student acts as clinician, another as patient
- **Teaching Points (2 minutes):** Highlight key administration tips and interpretation guidelines
- **Q&A (1 minute):** Address questions from other groups

Demonstration**Requirements** Each group

must address:

1. **Purpose and indications** for the assessment
2. **Step-by-step administration** process
3. **Scoring methodology** and interpretation

4. **Time requirements** and practical considerations
5. **Strengths and limitations** of the tool
6. **Appropriate patient populations** and settings

Peer Learning Component

While observing demonstrations, students should:

- Take notes on each assessment tool
- Compare and contrast different approaches
- Consider clinical applications
- Prepare questions for clarification

Phase 4: Reflection and Wrap-up (15 minutes)

Group Discussion Topics:

- Which assessment tool seems most appropriate for different clinical scenarios?
- What challenges did you encounter during practice?
- How do cultural and educational factors impact assessment choice?
- When might you use multiple assessment tools together?

Instructor-Led Summary:

- Reinforce key learning points
- Address common misconceptions
- Provide clinical context and real-world applications
- Preview next session topics

Assessment and Evaluation

Formative Assessment During Session

- **Peer observation** during practice sessions
- **Instructor circulation** and feedback during group work
- **Quality of demonstrations** and teaching to peers

- **Participation** in discussions and Q&A

Evaluation Criteria for Group Demonstrations

1. **Accuracy of administration** (25%)
2. **Clarity of instructions** to "patient" (20%)
3. **Proper scoring technique** (20%)
4. **Teaching effectiveness** to peers (20%)
5. **Time management** and organization (15%)

Activity Review and Reflection Questions

Individual Reflection (To be completed post-session)

1. Which cognitive assessment tool do you feel most confident administering and why?
2. What specific challenges might you face when assessing cognitive function in older adults?
3. How would you modify your approach for patients with hearing impairments, visual limitations, or limited English proficiency?
4. Describe a clinical scenario where you might choose each of the five assessment tools.

Group Discussion Points

- Compare the sensitivity and specificity of different tools
- Discuss the role of cognitive assessment in comprehensive geriatric evaluation
- Consider ethical implications of cognitive testing
- Explore interprofessional collaboration in cognitive assessment

Clinical Application Guidelines

Tool Selection Criteria

- **Mini-Cog:** Quick screening in busy clinical settings
- **MoCA:** Detecting mild cognitive impairment
- **MMSE:** Tracking cognitive change over time
- **TMT:** Assessing executive function specifically
- **ACE:** Comprehensive evaluation when time permits

Special Considerations for Geriatric Populations

- **Sensory impairments:** Ensure adequate lighting and minimize background noise
- **Physical limitations:** Allow for motor difficulties in timed tasks
- **Medication effects:** Consider impact of medications on performance
- **Fatigue factors:** Schedule assessments at optimal times of day
- **Cultural sensitivity:** Use appropriate language and culturally relevant examples

Additional Resources and References

Recommended Follow-up Activities

- Practice with standardized patients or volunteers
- Observe experienced clinicians administering assessments
- Review case studies involving cognitive assessment
- Explore technology-enhanced cognitive testing options

Professional Development

- Consider certification courses for specific assessment tools
- Join professional organizations focused on geriatric assessment
- Attend workshops on cultural competency in cognitive testing
- Stay updated on emerging assessment technologies

Troubleshooting Common Issues

During Administration

- **Patient refuses to participate:** Explore reasons and modify approach
- **Patient becomes frustrated:** Provide encouragement and consider breaks
- **Unclear responses:** Use clarifying questions without leading
- **Time constraints:** Prioritize essential components

During Scoring

- **Ambiguous responses:** Refer to standardized scoring guidelines
- **Incomplete assessments:** Document reasons and partial scores

- **Inconsistent performance:** Note variability and potential causes
- **Border-line scores:** Consider clinical context and repeat if necessary

This practical guide provides a structured approach to learning cognitive assessment tools while emphasizing hands-on practice, peer learning, and clinical application in geriatric care settings.